[28th January 1929

APPENDIX XIX.

[Vide answer to question No. 1092 asked by Mr. K. V. R. Swami at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 28th January 1929, page 73 supra.]

Statement A.

The number of cattle at each Cattle Farm with species as on 1st November 1928.

Species.	Coimbatore Dairy.	Hosur Cattle Farm.	Buffalo Breeding Station, Guntur.	Ongole Cattle Farm, Chintaladevi.
Scindhe breed	1	108	..	..
Crossbred cattle	71	90	..	..
Ongole breed	..	124	..	140
Kangayam breed	..	134	..	..
Work cattle	..	45	..	29
Ayrshire bull	..	1	..	..
Delhi buffaloes	..	4	12	..
$\frac{3}{4}$ do.	..	..	16	..
$\frac{1}{2}$ do.	..	..	25	..
$\frac{7}{8}$ do.	..	..	3	..
Total ..	72	506	56	169

Statement B.

Receipts and expenditure on the Cattle Farms.

Farm.	When started.	Period up to	Expenditure.	Receipts.
			RS.	RS.
1. Central Cattle Farm, Hosur.	1st September 1924.	31st March 1928.	2,45,176*	38,944
2. Ongole Cattle Farm, Chintaladevi.	In the year 1918-19.	April 1919 to March 1928.	2,18,186	32,832
3. Coimbatore Dairy ..	June 1923. Taken over by the Livestock section from the Superintendent, Central Farm.	From 1925-26. to 1927-28. Separate figures for the dairy alone prior to 1925-26 cannot accurately be distinguished.	1,12,638	1,04,114
4. Buffalo Breeding Station, Guntur.	June 1903 ..	31st March 1928.	27,115	6,510

* Excludes pay and travelling allowance of permanent staff on the farm. These figures were all merged in the whole section figures.

28th January 1929]

Statement C.

Cost of maintenance of an animal in 1927-28.
(One year)

Central Cattle Farm, Hosur.	Coimbatore Agricultural College Dairy.	Ongole Cattle Farm, Chintaladevi.	Buffalo Breeding Station, Guntur.
RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
54	148	80	120*

Only cattle are taken to account; horses, sheep, pigs and poultry are omitted in the calculation.

* *Buffaloes.*—Rs. 2,000 fodder had to be purchased owing to failure of monsoon in 1927-28; if this is deducted, the cost of maintenance will be approximately Rs. 82 per animal.

Under cost of maintenance is included—
Cost of concentrated food.
Do. veterinary medicines and miscellaneous charges.
Do. grain grown on the farm and fed.
Do. purchased fodder.
Cooly labour on cattle.

APPENDIX XX.

[Vide answer to question No. 1096 asked by Mr. T. Adinarayana Chettiyar at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 28th January 1929, page 76 supra.]

G.O. Routine No. 925, Revenue, dated 16th November 1928.

READ—the following :—

From M.R.Ry. B. Venkoba Rao Avargal, dated 2nd August 1928.

Order—Routine No. 925, Revenue, dated 16th November 1928.

The petitioner is informed that experience has shown the desirability of an inspection by an official of the Industries Department in such cases. The fee prescribed is very small compared with the expense involved. While it is true that the Department of Industries was established for the benefit of the ryots, that does not mean that individuals are to get the benefit of the advice of this department free to themselves at the expense of the general tax-payer. In the circumstances, if the petitioner wishes to have his application considered he should comply with the rules; but there is no compulsion on him to pay the fee if he would rather not take a loan on the terms prescribed.

(By order of the Governor in Council)

H. R. PATE,
Secretary to Government.

To M.R.Ry. B. Venkoba Rao Avargal, Venkatesa Farm, Anandur Post, Salem district.
,, the Development Department.